- 1 The effect of trauma counseling support and social protection on enhancing social economic
- 2 resilience in vulnerable communities. A natural experiment in Northern Uganda
- 3 2022, Authors: M. van Reisen, M. Stokmans, B. Vallejo, P. Nakazibwe & Z. M. Baluka
- 5 Cite as: van Reisen, M., Stokmans, M., Vallejo, B. et al. The Effect of Trauma Counseling
- 6 Support and Social Protection on Enhancing Social Economic Resilience in Vulnerable
- 7 Communities: A Natural Experiment in Northern Uganda. Eur J Dev Res (2022).

89 Abstract

4

20

- 10 The existing literature on social protection does not sufficiently address the role of traumatic
- 11 experiences in vulnerability and resilience. A failure to address trauma in highly traumatized
- and vulnerable populations could suppress the potential effect of social protection programs.
- 13 This study used a natural experiment (N=464) to explore the effectiveness of trauma
- counseling and cash/in-kind transfers on enhancing social economic resilience in vulnerable
- 15 communities in Northern Uganda. The results suggest that the effect of trauma counseling is
- more relevant than cash/in-kind transfers in enhancing social economic resilience. This
- 17 research adds to the existing literature by providing insights into underlying vulnerabilities,
- such as trauma, as essential elements to be considered in designing and implementing social
- 19 protection programs in vulnerable communities.
- 21 **Keywords:** social protection, safety nets, social economic resilience, trauma, Africa, Uganda
- 22 **JEL**: O10, I15, R1, D69, O550

Most development studies in sub-Saharan Africa consider social economic protection programs as critical instruments to reduce poverty, strengthen resilience and sustain economic growth. Social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) have significantly enhanced food security and decreased monetary poverty (van Kesteren et al., 2018). However, the intersection between social economic protection and mental health support programmes in the context of vulnerable communities has not yet been sufficiently addressed. Moreover, the high level of existing (and unattended) PTS prevalent among the population of sub-Saharan Africa due to its history of armed conflicts (i.e., the Lord's Resistance Army [LRA] in Uganda, the Somali Civil War, the Rwandan Genocide, and Ethiopian-Eritrean War, among others) is rarely connected by the literature with the social economic protection efforts undertaken by diverse stakeholders.

The underlying assumption of social protection programs is that individuals can spend the received economic (or in-kind) support wisely or invest it in their future (Gentilini and Omamo, 2011; Fiszbein et al., 2014). However, the presence of PTS hinders a person's ability to operate rationally in everyday life (Lerner and Kennedy, 2000; Kidane and Stokmans, 2019), exacerbating the cycle of poverty in which vulnerable people can find themselves (World Bank, 2001; Roberts et al., 2008; Gentilini and Omamo, 2011; Milenkovic et al., 2013; Fiszbein et al., 2014; Winkler et al., 2015). This can cause a misalignment of values and objectives at different administrative governance levels, resulting in ambiguous and ineffective programs and policies (Zahariadis and Exadaktylos, 2015).

This study examines the problem of misalignment of social protection programs by conducting a natural experiment. The experiment compared pre-existing groups participating in either trauma support programs, social protection safety nets programs, both trauma

support and social protection safety net programs, as well as those not receiving any support (control group). The project developed a statistically reliable tailor-made scale to capture the social economic resilience of participants (their perceptions about coping with and recovering from past hazards, such as war). The findings suggest that recipients of trauma counseling support programs improved their social economic resilience significantly.

The study contributes to the literature on socio economic development and inclusive growth, as it addresses the question whether a sole focus on economic input for social protection programs is adequate. This study investigates whether trauma, which is common in vulnerable communities in sub-Saharan Africa, affects the social economic integration efforts being undertaken, a connection rarely made in the literature. The findings are expected to be of interest to local and national policymakers and international organizations.

The next section (section 2) introduces the key concepts and definitions used in the study, followed by the methodology in section 3. Section 4 describes the research instruments and their reliability. Section 5 presents the results of the empirical analysis; section 6 contains a discussion of the main findings, and, finally, section 7 presents the conclusions and discussion.

# 2 Key concepts and definitions

This section presents the key concepts and definitions used in the study.

# **2.1** Vulnerability

- Vulnerability is a critical concept used to describe the target groups for social protection and
- 94 resilience programs. It refers to "conditions that make [individuals or] communities
- 95 susceptible to harm" (Bergstrand et al., 2015, p. 2).

# **2.2 Social economic resilience**

Resilience focuses on "coping with and recovering from a hazard that has already occurred" (Bergstrand et al., 2015, p. 2). In this study, the unit of analysis is the individual, and social economic resilience is understood as a characteristic related to the individual's beliefs about coping with and improving their social and economic domain. This definition resembles Bandura's (1997; 2001) definition of self-efficacy, in which self-efficacy is a person's belief in their ability to succeed in a particular situation.

Although not richly addressed in the literature, there are several indicators developed for social economic resilience (Cutter et al., 2010; UNISDR, 2015; Platt et al., 2016; Khazai et al., 2018). This study used three main components of social economic resilience at the individual level: psychological, social, and economic (van Reisen and Mawere, 2017). The psychological component encompasses individual abilities conceptualized according to three indicators: 'capability' (perceived ability to manage everyday life, to pay bills, get information, and acquire skills (including communication skills), 'empowerment' (perceived ability to act independently and out of free will; self-esteem and perceived control over everyday life issues), and 'worriedness' (worries about income security, integration or embeddedness in the community, and safety, among other things). The social component is related to social embeddedness with two indicators: 'social embeddedness' (perceived embeddedness or integration of an individual with his/her family, his/her community, and the community's leadership) and 'trust in the system' (which captures an individual's trust in the government, tapping into rights and access to services). The economic component is perceived as 'income' security (perceived financial security, financial status, income, and

employment opportunities). Figure 1 presents a conceptualization of the indicators of social economic resilience.

## Figure 1 about here

# 2.3 Social protection

Social protection is regarded as the human right to receive protection in times of vulnerability (Ulriksen and Plagerson, 2014).<sup>5</sup> When specifically orientated to the protection of risk-exposed populations, it is defined as "the set of policies, [programs,] and actions which enhance the capacity of poor and vulnerable people to escape from poverty and enable them to manage better risks and shocks" (OECD, 2009, p. 13).

In Uganda, social protection refers to public and private interventions that address risks and vulnerabilities that expose individuals to income insecurity and social deprivation<sup>6</sup> (Ministry of Gender Labour and Social Development, 2015). The social protection programs implemented by the Government of Uganda in the last few years have had two pillars: (i) social security (a preventive measure to mitigate income shocks) and (ii) social care and support services (a wide range of services for people living in poverty and vulnerability) (Ministry of Gender Labour and Social Development, 2015). From this perspective, social protection in Uganda is a tool for inclusive development, as it is targeted at vulnerable people and focuses on more than just economic growth (Gupta et al., 2015). Since 2004, social protection has been recognized by the Government of Uganda as an obligation and is included as a component of all national policies (Ministry of Gender, Labour and Social Development, 2011, cited in van Reisen et al. (2019)). It is assumed that social protection programs help recipients to build capacity, allowing them to overcome their vulnerability (National Planning Authority, 2013; Ministry of Gender Labour and Social Development, 2015).

The empirical literature shows that sufficiently large cash and in-kind transfers, together with regular and reliable payments, are determining factors in ensuring food security (Berhane et al., 2014; Tiwari et al., 2016). Cash transfers are a useful and widely used tool to alleviate short-term deprivation, regularize consumption and reduce the adoption of negative coping strategies, as they immediately boost economic access to food (Burchi et al., 2018). In the case of Uganda, direct income support is the core of the national social protection system and provides regular and reliable, small transfers (cash, cash-vouchers, and in-kind) to vulnerable people and households that can be spent at their discretion.

## 2.4 Trauma

Trauma refers to psychological injuries that "violate the familiar ideas and expectations about the world of an individual or society, plunging them into a state of extreme confusion and uncertainty" (Aydin, 2017, p. 127). If not treated, these injuries reconfigure a traumatized person's brain (Kidane and Stokmans, 2019) so that they continue to organize their lives as if the traumatic event is ongoing. This restricts the spontaneous involvement of traumatized individuals in their own lives (Chrousos and Gold, 1992; Tsigos and Chrousos, 2002; van der Kolk, 2014). The repeated or constant activation of the emotional stress response by, for example, cues that trigger traumatic memories is referred to as PTS, which creates a state of constant fear, hopelessness, and even horror (Yehuda, 2002).

The strong adverse emotional reaction that accompanies PTS is so overwhelming that individuals with PTS live on an emotional rollercoaster that takes over their daily lives. The literature indicates that traumatic experiences harm a traumatized person's cognitive processes and functioning (Lerner and Kennedy, 2000; Kidane and Stokmans, 2019). This impacts the person in three ways. Firstly, the negative state affects their decision-making

process, as feelings significantly influence their judgment (Schwarz and Clore, 2007; Cohen et al., 2008; Schwarz, 2011; Kidane and Stokmans, 2019; van Reisen et al., 2019). For example, in a negative state of mind, people cannot act on opportunities to enhance their income as they look at the world from a negative perspective. Secondly, PTS reduces the ability to process information (Shiv and Fedorikhin, 1999; Schwarz and Clore, 2007; Cohen et al., 2008; Schwarz, 2011; Kidane and Stokmans, 2019; van Reisen et al., 2019), resulting in feelings being substituted for substantive information, as feelings require less cognitive resources to process (Avent et al., 2012). Consequently, the daily decisions of people affected by PTS are strongly governed by negative feelings and not by (objective) information about (income) opportunities. Thirdly, the strong uncontrollable negative emotions that accompany PTS result in the belief that one has no control over one's own life or environment. Such beliefs reflect low self-efficacy, and people with low levels of self-efficacy tend to give up very quickly (Bandura, 1997; 2001). 

# 3 Methodology

This research explored the effect of trauma support programs, as an element of social protection programs, to achieve reintegration and empowerment while addressing vulnerable communities. The project ran from 2015 to 2017 in Northern Uganda, a region that suffered years of armed conflict involving abductions, killings, and displacements by the LRA.<sup>7</sup> The data analysis reported in this paper pertains to the study's first wave conducted from March to May 2016. The research design follows a mixed method in a natural experiment (Leatherdale, 2019). A natural experiment investigates a treatment or intervention in a real-life situation. The study was implemented as a Posttest-Only Control Group Design, in which there were four groups, one of which did not receive any treatment -the control group (Frey, 2018).

The research used two scales to measure PTS and social economic resilience of the populations studied: the Impact of Events Scale-Revised (IES-R) and the Social Economic Resilience (SER)-scale respectively. The IES-R is a cross-culturally validated tool (Horowitz et al., 1979; Weiss and Marmar, 1997; Motlagh, 2010), which allows an assessment of the degree of traumatic stress, but is not a diagnostic tool of PTSD. The IES-R and SER scales were administered during the research period and, therefore, after study participants had participated in psycho-social trauma support programs, but while they were receiving social protection cash/in kind support, which started at least during the last year before the data were collected.

The study included 465 respondents. The respondents were asked to respond to the questionnaire. The questionnaires for both scales were read to the respondents in their local language by 20 trained interviewers. This data was prepared for statistical analysis and processed in a way that ensured the anonymity of the respondents. The research was complemented with semi-structured interviews (n= 20), of which the participants were randomly selected from the 465 respondents. The interviews were analyzed with an open coding-labeling technique (Nakazibwe and van Reisen, 2019). A detailed description of the research procedure is described in van Reisen et al. (2018) and Kidane (2021).

The study received the approval of local authorities in all of the sites where the research was conducted, as well as the NGO, Isis-WICCE and it local subsidiaries. Arrangements were made with local providers of psycho-social support and mental health clinics to follow up on any participants identified by the team as in need of additional support. Ethical clearance was obtained through the University of Mbarara (letter Dec 4, 2017) and Tilburg University (REC 2017/16, REDC# 2020l01). The participants were asked to give written consent for participation in the study.

#### Research questions and hypotheses 3.1

- 214 The research addresses the following two questions: (i) Do social protection (cash/in-kind
- 215 transfers) and trauma support programs enhance social economic resilience? (ii) Do trauma
- support programs enhance the effect of cash/in-kind transfers on social economic resilience? 216
- These questions are addressed by three hypotheses: (i) social protection (cash/in-kind 217
- 218 transfers) have a positive effect on social economic resilience; (ii) psycho-social trauma relief
- 219 programs have a positive effect on social economic resilience; and (iii) psycho-social trauma
- 220 support programs enhance the effect of social protection (cash/in-kind transfers), interaction
- 221 effect, on social economic resilience.

### **Study sites** 3.2

213

222

233

234

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253 254

255 256

257

258

- 223 The research was undertaken in four districts: Kitgum, Lira, Katakwi, and Amuria, which the
- 224 Government of Uganda classifies as areas affected by the armed rebellion and part of the
- 225 Uganda Peace Recovery and Development Plan. The research team collaborated with the
- 226 Non-Governmental Organisation (NGO) Isis-Women's International Cross-Cultural
- 227 Exchange (Isis-WICCE), which provided trauma support in the following sites: (i) Kitgum
- 228 through Kitgum Women Peace Initiative (KIWEPI), (ii) Lira through Women Peace
- Initiative-Uganda (WOPI-U), and (iii) Katakwi and Amuria through Teso Women Peace 229
- 230 Activists (TEWPA). The selection of districts allowed the sample to represent different
- 231 ethnic groups living in Northern Uganda, i.e., Acholi, Langi, and Teso. Table 1 presents
- 232 basic information on the study sites.

# Table 1 About here

### 3.3 Social protection cash transfer and psycho-social trauma support programs

- 235 The social protection cash/in-kind transfer programs analyzed in this research were provided
- 236 by the Government of Uganda under the National Direct Income Support Program. During
- 237 the field research period, specific programs were running in the research locations, namely:
- 238 (i) Uganda National Agricultural Advisory Services (NAADS) I and II through a Household
- 239 Income Support Program (HISP); (ii) Northern Uganda Social Action Fund (NUSAF); (iii)
- Uganda Social Assistance Grants for Empowerment (SAGE); (iv) restocking program; (v) 240
- 241 Community-Driven Development Program (CDDP); (vi) Uganda Women Entrepreneurship
  - Programme (UWEP), and (vii) Youth Livelihood Program (YLD). These programs provide
- 242 243 unconditional regular cash/in-kind support to individuals to guarantee a minimum income.<sup>8</sup>

The psycho-social trauma support programs included in the research took a nonmedical approach to deal with PTS, working with self-help groups to support women to achieve healing through collective counselling. All of them had a theatre component as a means of counseling, followed by public dialogue and debate on the issues addressed, allowing the participants to talk about their trauma and receive support (van Reisen et al., 2019). Another common element was the inclusion of religious leaders in the trauma support programs. Their presence emphasized the need for forgiveness and contributed to understanding and acceptance (van Reisen et al., 2019).

The approach used by Isis-WICCE breaks the isolation of war survivors by organizing women-friendly spaces in which women can share their experiences, pain, and the ordeals they have gone through, as well as how they have coped (and are coping). This enables women to speak out and counsel each other. Isis-WICCE has also organized specialistfacilitated training for selected women leaders and health workers from affected districts on trauma management. This has enabled the leaders and health workers to understand what PTS does to a person and gain skills to identify and manage PTS at the individual, family,

- 259 and community level. On returning to their communities, the trained women leaders support
- women who have returned from captivity or been affected by the war. Their programs are 260
- firmly anchored in the communities involved to enhance ownership of the program. Such an 261
- 262 informal coaching trajectory teaches the participants to understand and control the strong
- 263 emotions they are experiencing due to PTS.

### 3.4 **Selection of participants**

- All study participants were female, of which 87% were farmers. The selection of female 265
- 266 respondents was made, as women and girls are among the most vulnerable groups affected by
- 267 the armed conflict. During the conflict, they were abducted, [gang-]raped, maimed,
- [sexually] enslaved, forced to enlist, and their property looted (Nakazibwe and van Reisen, 268
- 269 2019). Local authorities<sup>9</sup> provided the researchers with a list of women participating in the
- different social protection (cash-in kind transfers) programs in the study areas, and the NGO, 270
- 271 Isis-WICCE, provided the researchers with a list of persons that had participated in the
- 272 psycho-social trauma support programs it implemented in the Northern Uganda region.

Based on the information about social support provided by local authorities and Isis-

274 WICE, respondents were assigned to one of four groups: (i) recipients of social protection 275

(cash/in-kind transfers) only; (ii) recipients of psycho-social trauma support program only;

(iii) recipients of both social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) and psycho-social trauma

support; and (iv) a control group in which no financial support or psycho-social trauma

support was given. Table 2 presents the geographic location of participants over the four

279 groups. 280

264

273

276

277

278

281

282

283

300

### Table 2 About here

The control group consisted of participants who did not participate in any program and who were in the vicinity of locations where the study was conducted.

# **Descriptive characterists of respondents**

- 284 The average age of the respondents was 42 years (SD 15.55). An analysis of variance (one-
- 285 way ANOVA) shows that the treatment groups differ in ages (F(3,459)=5,52, p<0,05). On
- 286 average, the members who only received social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) were
- significantly older than the members of the other groups. Most respondents had only 287
- 288 attended primary school or had never been to school. The Chi-square test revealed that
- 289 education levels were not equally distributed across the treatment groups (Chi-square =
- 290 20.08, SD = 9, p< 0.05). The two groups that received psycho-social trauma support had a
- 291 sligthly higher education. Error! Reference source not found. shows that most respondents
- 292 were farmers, and a Chi-square test revealed that occupations were also not equally
- 293 distributed across the treatment groups (Chi-square = 18,71, df = 9, p< 0,05). Participants of
- 294 the two groups who received psycho-social trauma support were more likely to have a
- 295 business (about 10% compared to about 4% of the group that only received social protection
- 296 (cash/in-kind) supportand 3% for the group that received no support at all). Most participants
- 297 were married and part of a male-headed household. The Chi-square test found that marital
- 298 status was similar across the four different groups (Chi-square = 10.96, df = 9, p> 0.10).

#### 299 Table 3 About here

#### **Research instruments** 4

- Two instruments were used for the quantitative part of the research, the IES-R and SER-tool, 301
- 302 which are discussed below.

# 4.1 Impact of Events Scale-Revised

The IES-R was used to test the assumption of this research that the study sample consisted of people with PTS. The original IES is the most widely adopted measure of PTS (Horowitz et al., 1979). In this study, the revised version of the scale, the IES-R (Weiss and Marmar, 1997; Motlagh, 2010), was used, as it also includes hyperarousal items (a major symptom cluster of PTS). According to Creamer et al. (2003), test-retest reliability (r = 0.89 to 0.94) and internal consistency (Cronbach's) for each subscale of the IES-R is good (intrusion = 0.87 to 0.94; avoidance = 0.84 to 0.897; hyperarousal = 0.79 to 0.91).

The IES-R consists of 22 items grouped into 3 subscales: 'intrusion' (with 8 items), 'avoidance' (with 8 items), and 'hyperarousal' (with 6 items). Participants were asked to report the degree of distress experienced during the last seven days on a five-point scale: not at all (0); a little bit (1); moderately (2); quite a bit (3); and extremely (4). Internal consistency was high for each of the three subscales in this study (intrusion: 0.948; avoidance: 0.916; hyperarousal: 0.951).

Following Weiss and Marmar (1997), Creamer et al. (2003), and Motlagh (2010), instead of adopting the raw sum, the research adopted the sum of the means of each subscale, resulting in a minimum value of 0 and a maximum of 12. The higher the value, the higher the level of PTS-like symptoms.

### 4.2 Social Economic Resilience Scale

The Social Economic Resilience (SER) scale was used to provide an index of the multidimensional construct of social economic resilience. This scale was developed for the project and inspired by the literature on ecological (Gunderson, 2000), community (Paton and Johnston, 2001; Norris et al., 2008), and economic (Hallegatte et al., 2016) resilience and the sustainable livelihood framework of (Mensah, 2012). The items in the SER scale were adapted to the research population in this study, who are traumatized and vulnerable women living in Northern Uganda. In addition, the scale was tested in a pilot study to check the relevance and comprehensibility of the scale items and adapted accordingly.

The SER scale consists of 6 subscales: (i) 'capability' (with 6 items); (ii) 'empowerment' (with 12 items); (iii) 'worriedness' (with 10 items); (iv) 'social embeddedness' (with 5 items); (v) 'trust in the system' (with 2 items); and (vi) 'income security' (with 13 items). The SER scale makes use of the Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree)<sup>2</sup>. Table 4 presents the main aspects captured by the SER scale.

# Table 4 about here

# 4.3 Reliability of scales

The reliability of the scales was tested by conducting Cronbach's alpha tests. See Equation (1), where k = the number of items;  $\bar{c} = the average of all covariance between item-pairs; and, <math>\bar{v} = the average variance of each item.$ 

# Equation (1): Cronbach's alpha test

342 
$$\alpha = \frac{(\mathbf{k} * \overline{\mathbf{c}})}{(\overline{v} + (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{1}) * \overline{\mathbf{c}})}$$

The corrected item-total correlation indicated that only two items in the 'worriedness' subscale did not meet the lower limit of 0.35 and, hence, were excluded from the scale,

leaving eight items. The subsequent Cronbach's alpha test (see Table 5, row 1) shows that

347 the 'social embeddedness' and 'worriedness' scales were less homogeneous than the rest,

348 although exceeding the lower limit acceptability with a value of above 0.70, indicated by Hair

349 et al. (2014).

350

355

366

367368

369

370371

372373

374

375376

377

378379

380

381

382 383

384

385 386

387

388

389

390

## Table 5 about here

Table 5 shows that the 'social embeddedness' and 'worriedness' subscales are not correlated

- with the other scales (p<0.05). The 'capability' subscale is correlated with the 'income
- security, 'empowerment,' and 'trust in the system' subscales (p>0.05). Empowerment is
- 354 correlated with 'income security' and 'trust in the system'.

# 4.4 Analysis of covariance

- 356 The relatively low correlations between the SER subscales justified conducting an ANCOVA
- separately for each of the subscales to explore the effect of cash/in-kind and trauma relief
- 358 programs on social economic resilience and controlling for age, educational level, and
- 359 employment. ANCOVA is an extension of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) to
- accommodate independent metric variables. ANCOVA tests differences between groups. It
- provides a tool to judge whether or not the observed effects are due to a treatment effect (Hair
- et al., 2014). ANCOVA is represented in equation (2), where Y is metric dependent
- variables, and X is a mixed set of independent, categorical (non-metric) and metric variables.
- 364 Equation (2):  $Y_1 = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + ... + X_n$
- **365 5 Results**

## 5.1 Prevalence of PTS

The study found the presence of intense symptoms related to PTS in about 83% of the sample, as shown in Table 6.

# Table 6 about here

The mean scores on each subscale of the IES–R for each group indicate that PTS symptoms are high in all groups (IES-R total > 7). Table 6 shows that on the one hand, the group that received trauma relief (with or without social protection (cash/in-kind transfers)) recorded the lowest scores for the mean IES-R. On the other hand, the four groups of participants did not significantly differ in the trauma levels experienced. The level of PTS that was experienced was significantly related to age (i.e., older persons presented with higher levels of trauma), level of education (the lower the education level, the higher the stress level), and employment (women with a business scored highest in terms of stress level). Consequently, these variables were included in the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to explore the effect of social protection cash/in-kind transfers and psycho-social trauma support programs. Table 7 summarizes the findings for the SER scale.

## Table 7 about here

Column 1 indicates that, overall, the participants had a positive attitude towards their community and family ('social embeddedness'), a neutral position on 'income security', 'empowerment', and 'trust in the system', and a negative perception of their 'capability' and 'worriedness' (i.e., the participants showed high levels of worriedness). Columns 2 to 5 in Table 7 present the SER statistics by subscale for each of the four groups analyzed in this study. The results show that the groups scored similarly on the 'social embeddedness' subscale. The (contole) group that participated in neither of the programs (i.e., psycho-social counseling nor social protection (cash/in-kind transfers)) scored the lowest on the 'capability', 'income security' and 'empowerment' subscales. The group receiving only

391 392 393 394	psycho-social trauma counseling (column 3) had the lowest scores on 'trust in the system' and 'worriedness'. In the next section, we will test the differences between the groups to explore the effectiveness of social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) and psycho-social trauma counseling in enhancing social economic resilience.
395	5.2 Results of effects of interventions
396 397 398	Table 8 presents the results of two (cash/in-kind: yes/no) by two (trauma relief: yes/no) ANCOVAs regarding the subscales of the SER scale when taking age, education level, and employment into account.
399	Table 8 about here
400	No significant difference (p>0.10) was found between the groups in relation to 'social
401	embeddedness' (column 2) and 'capability' (column 3). In the case of 'income security'
402	(column 4), trauma support had a significant positive effect. The results indicate that cash/in-
403	kind transfers and trauma support significantly positively affect 'empowerment' (column 5).
404	In the following, we will explore each of the research hypotheses.
405	5.3 Hypothesis 1. Do cash/in-kind transfers have a positive effect on social economic
406	resilience?
407	The study found that cash/in-kind transfers had a significant effect on 'empowerment' (see
408	Error! Reference source not found. 8 column 5), and on worriedness' (column 7). The
409	effect on empowerment is positive, reflecting a more positive attitude towards having control
410	over one's life. However, receiving cash/in-kind transfers increased the level of worridness.
411	Receiving cash/in-kind transfers did not significantly affect the subscales for 'social
412	embeddedness', 'capability', 'income security', and 'trust in the system'. The lack of effect
413	on 'capability' and 'income security' is contrary to the assumption that cash/in-kind transfers
414	provide people with money and opportunities to build a better life.
415	5.4 Hypothesis 2. Do psycho-social trauma support programs have a positive effect on
416	social economic resilience?
417	The ANCOVA revealed a significant effect of trauma relief programs on 'income security',
418	'empowerment', and 'trust in the system' (see Table 8, columns 4, 5, and 6). The study
419	found that receiving psycho-social trauma support had a positive effect on 'income security'
420	(i.e., greater ability to generate, save, and administrate money), 'empowerment' (a positive
421	attitude towards having control over one's life), and 'trust in the system' (greater trust in the
422	system and belief in justice or access to it). Receiving trauma support did not affect 'social
423	embeddedness', 'capability', and 'worriedness'. The lack of an effect on 'capability' and
424	'worriedness' is contrary to this research's expectations.
425	5.5 Hypothesis 3. Do trauma relief programs enhance the effect of cash/in-kind
426	transfers (interaction effect) on social economic resilience?
427	This hypothesis relates to the interaction effect between cash/in-kind transfers and psycho-
428	social trauma relief programs in an ANCOVA and directly tests the existence of the
429	synergetic effect of providing both trauma relief and cash/in-kind transfers to traumatized
430	people. The study found no significant interaction effect for any subscales except

- 431 'worriedness' (Table 8 column 7). However, the interaction effect for 'worriedness' was not
- as expected: receiving both cash/in-kind transfers and psycho-social trauma support did not
- decrease but instead increased the level of worry.

## 5.6 Interviews

434

442

451

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466 467

468

469 470

471

472

473

474

475

476 477

- Participants, who were not treated for PTS, confirmed during semi-structured interviews that
- 436 they experienced high levels of trauma and that this was hindering their mental health and
- 437 social economic resilience. Participants who participated in psycho-social trauma-support
- programs testified to their relevance and were more positive in setting out their engagement
- in social and economic activities in the community. The interviews confirm the statistical
- findings. Detailed qualitative analyses are described in van Reisen et al. (2018) and
- 441 Nakazibwe and van Reisen (2019).

## 6 Conclusions

This study is a natural experiment posttest-only control group design, in Northern Uganda to comparatively study the effects of social protection (cash/in-kind programs) and psychosocial trauma support on social economic resilience, addressing the vulnerability of highly traumatized populations in Uganda. The study focused on female participants affected by the war in Northern Uganda with the Lord Resistance Army. Three hypotheses were formulated for the investigation conducted for this study on the positive effects of social protection (cash/in-kind programs) and psycho-social trauma support programs each and in their

(cash/in-kind programs) and psycho-social trauma support programs each and in their combined effect. The results show that the social protection interventions (cash/in-kind

transfers) and trauma-support are rendering significant positive results on social economic

452 resilience.

The findings confirm the critical role of cash/in-kind transfers in Uganda in enhancing food security and decreasing monetary poverty found in other studies (see Gilligan et al. (2009); Veras Soares and Teixeira (2010); Merttens et al. (2013); Berhane et al. (2014); Daidone et al. (2014); Hidrobo et al. (2018). For this study, the construct of 'resilience' was defined as perception of the ability to succeed in a particular situation. The level of investment in social protection policies and programs and their effect on health and inequality has been analyzed by Dahl and van der Wel (2013), Avendano et al. (2015), and Reeves et al. (2014). O'Campo et al. (2015) found that government investment in social protection reduces the financial strain and psychosocial stress of the most disadvantaged people in society.

This study revealed that social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) could enhance one aspects of social economic resilience, namely 'empowerment' (more positive beliefs about having control over one's life). The study found a no significant effect on 'capability' and 'income security' (perceived income security). This can be explained by attribution theory (Heider, 1958; Miller and Norman, 1979). Receivers of transfers may have attributed life being less harsh to an external factor – the cash transfers received from the government – and may not necessarily believe that their capability to cope with life has increased due to receipt of the cash transfers. They may even feel dependent on these external funds and, consequently, may not believe that their income position is secure. Concerns about the dependency of beneficiaries of social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) have existed since the origins of this type of programs (Gentilini and Omamo, 2011).

The study found a negative and significant effect of receiving social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) on 'worriedness'. This finding underlines that the participants may feel dependent on these external funds and according to prospect theory, this can trigger negative emotions such as worriedness (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979). Prospect theory argues that losses loom heavier than gains and suggests that the risk of losing the external

funds causes negative feelings that are more intense than the positive feelings associated with getting the funds. Those receiving transfers feel dependent on these transfers and worry more, not about the past, but their current social and financial situation (losing the transfers). This phenomenon relates to the participants' perceived lack of self-efficacy. This negative self-perception is not addressed by traditional social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) programs, but it is addressed in psycho-social trauma relief programs.

The positive and sustainable effects of social protection programs are based on the assumption that individuals make rational decisions to spend transfers wisely and invest in their future. This logic is reflected in social economic resilience, which taps into an individual's belief in their ability to cope with and overcome the effects of a hazard in the social and economic domains. However, the presence of PTS hinders the ability of an individual to operate rationally in everyday life (Kidane and Stokmans, 2019; Lerner and Kennedy, 2000), exacerbating the cycle of poverty that vulnerable people can find themselves in (World Bank, 2001; Roberts et al., 2008; Gentilini and Omamo, 2011; Milenkovic et al., 2013; Fiszbein et al., 2014; Winkler et al., 2015). Trauma relief programs address the negative emotions triggered by PTS and, in addition, enhance the sense of control over one's live (Kidane, 2021).

This research indicates that trauma relief programs positively affect perceptions of 'income security', 'empowerment', and 'trust in the system'. Given the short duration of the psychosocial trauma relief programs that were studied, it is remarkable that these effects were, in fact, detected. A psycho-social trauma relief program assumes that by reducing the impact of trauma, people will start believing that they can control their lives and, as a consequence, experience enhanced resilience in the social and economic domains. However, this process takes time, especially if people have severe PTS, as is the case for the participants in this study. The lack of effect on the indicators 'social embeddedness', 'capability', and 'worriedness' could be due to the relatively short period between the implementation of the psycho-social trauma relief program. This is confirmed by a recent study about the lagged effect of trauma relief programs (Stokmans and Baluka, 2020).

This study also looked at the synergetic effect of receiving both psycho-social trauma relief and social protection (cash/in-kind transfers), it was hypothesized that receiving both types of programs would enhance the effect of cash/in-kind transfers on social economic resilience. However, such an interaction effect was not detected. This fits with the observations in the literature that social protection safety net programs, particularly those studied with a case study research design approach, fail to detect positive effects (Berhane et al., 2014; Tiwari et al., 2016). This may be partly due to the kind of design used. In a natural experiment (or a case study), the researcher cannot exclude or control all other variables that may affect the effect variable being studied, (Craig et al., 2017; Leatherdale, 2019) such as the SER scale. In this study, we used a 'regression adjustment' approach (Craig et al., 2017) using an ANCOVA to compare the SER scores of different treatment groups and controlling for individual characteristics that differed between the groups.

Despite its short duration, this study unexpectedly found a significant and negative interaction effect of cash/in-kind transfers and trauma relief programs on worriedness: receiving cash or in-kind transfers and trauma relief programs increased the level of worriedness reported. This study reported an unexpected negative effect of social protection (cash/in-kind) on income security, and the interaction effect suggestes that trauma relief programs enhance this negative effect. This result could be attributed to an increased awareness of vulnerability and dependency on the social protection support (cash/in-kind transfers) due to a decrease of acute PTS-like symtoms, which were addressed in the intervention of psycho-social trauma support. This increased awareness can trigger negative emotions such as worriedness according to prospect theory (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979).

The previously mentioned study about the lagged effect of trauma relief programs and cash/in-kind transfers, which uses a pre-post approach, did not reveal interaction effects between cash/in-kind and trauma relief programs (Stokmans and Baluka, 2020). In addition, Kidane's studies (Kidane and Stokmans, 2019; Kidane, 2021), which used a within-subject (repeated measurement) design and another trauma relief program, also failed to detect interaction effects. These findings, therefore, suggest that a synergetic effect between social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) and trauma support counseling could not be expected in situations were the amount of the social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) delivered to vulnerable persons is small.

Important caveats in the study are related to the nature of the setting itself. First, the research sample consisted of only women from selected districts in Northern Uganda. Second, the study was conducted in a setting where several social protection programs and trauma relief programs were running and, consequently, had to use a natural experiment in which the control of confounding factors is limited compared to a true experiment. Moreover, as the effect of existing interventions was studied, detailed information about the interventions, such as the kind and exact amount of social protection (cash/in-kind transfers) received and exact procedure used in the psycho-social trauma relief programs, is missing (Craig et al., 2017). It is expected that all these programs differ in nature, duration, frequency, intensity, modality, quality of implementation, and procedures. These differences blur the detected effect of the intervention as none of these characteristics were controlled in the study. The research in a natural setting may have depressed the effects that may have been found in a more controlled setup. However, the natural setting in which the research took place does increase the reliability of findings in terms of reflecting a real-life situation. Overall, the findings of the research can only attest to general tendencies, and further analysis or replication would be required to confirm the insights revealed.

## 7 Discussion

The study has important implications for considerations regarding mental health and social protection interventions in post-conflict settings, particularly in Uganda and sub-Saharan Africa. Mindful of the caveats outlined above, the following suggestions can be offered from the study's findings. Firstly, this study indicates that psycho-social trauma support programs have a significant effect on social economic resilience that is more positive compared to social protection (cash/in-kind transfers), even if the trauma program is of short duration. Therefore, the findings of this study strongly suggest that trauma relief programs should be included in social protection programs that target highly traumatized vulnerable populations.

The study's findings further suggest that, if a choice between programs needs to be made, support for psycho-social trauma relief programs in highly traumatized communities should be prioritized over social protection transfers. This is because trauma interventions require less financial support, and they have a significantly positive effect on the social economic resilience of the participants, and do not enhance the feeling of dependency on external funds.

These conclusions suggest a need to develop interventions that adapt to the local population's characteristics, culture, and preferences. Developing such interventions in consultation with the local community and within the existing government, structures would enhance the community's sense of ownership and facilitate implementation and benefits. In this study, the trauma relief programs were firmly anchored in the communities involved and created a social learning environment where community members shared knowledge and skills about trauma handling.

**Notes:** 

- <sup>1</sup> See Lomo et al. (2001), Lomo and Hovil (2004a; 2004b), and Quinn (2009) for an overview
- of Uganda's armed conflicts as well as its consequent refugee and internally displaced
- persons (IDPs) crisis.
- <sup>2</sup> This study adopts the term Post Traumatic Stress (PTS) rather than Post Traumatic Stress
- 580 Disorder (PTSD) following the current debate in the mental health practitioners where it is
- argue that by adding the D to the term carries out stigma and vulnerability. Therefore, even
- if PTSD remains the official diagnostic term, the study adopts the term PTS joining the
- ongoing movement of mental health awareness.
- <sup>3</sup> More on the history of the conflict in Northern Uganda and the Lord's Resistance Army can
- be found in Lomo et al. (2001); Lomo and Hovil (2004a; 2004b); Mukwana and Ridderbos
- 586 (2008); Quinn (2009), among others.
- 587 <sup>4</sup> These are the three components considered in the formulation of the SER scale
- 588 There is a diversity of social protection typologies and frameworks, but this is outside the scope of this paper.
- <sup>6</sup> Understood as those individuals who are disadvantaged and have restricted access to social
- resources. See <a href="https://www.poverty.ac.uk/world/uganda">https://www.poverty.ac.uk/world/uganda</a> for a more detailed description.
- 592 <sup>7</sup> Women have also been key players in the process of peace in the region. (see van Reisen 593 (2015).
- <sup>8</sup> See van Reisen et al. (2018, pp. 125-135) for further details on these programs.
- 595 The local authorities, also referred to as community development officers and district
- officers, provided the project with authorization to perform the natural experiment and the list
- of individuals participating in cash/in-kind transfer programs in the study areas

# 8 References

598599

600

601 602

603 604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611612613

614

615 616

617618

619 620

- Avendano, M., Berkman, L.F., Brugiavini, A. and Pasini, G. (2015). The Long-Run Effect of Maternity Leave Benefits on Mental Health: Evidence from European Countries. Social Science & Medicine 132(May): 45-53. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.02.037">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.02.037</a>
- Avent, T., Pham, M.T. and Stephen, A.T. (2012). Consumers' Trust in Feelings as Information. Journal of Consumer Research, **39**(4): 720-735. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1086/664978">https://doi.org/10.1086/664978</a>
- Aydin, C. (2017). How to Forget the Unforgettable? On Collective Trauma, Cultural Identity, and Mnemotechnologies. Identity **17**(3): 125-137. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/15283488.2017.1340160">https://doi.org/10.1080/15283488.2017.1340160</a>
- Bandura, A. (1997). Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control. New York, W H Freeman/Times Books/ Henry Holt & Co.
- Bandura, A. (2001). Social Cognitive Theory: An Agentic Perspective. Annual Review of Psychology **52**: 1-26. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.1">https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.1</a>
- Bergstrand, K., Mayer, B., Brumback, B. and Zhang, Y. (2015). Assessing the Relationship between Social Vulnerability and Community Resilience to Hazards. Social Indicators Research 122(2): 391-409. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-014-0698-3">https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-014-0698-3</a>

Berhane, G., Gilligan, D.O., Hoddinott, J., Kumar, N. and Taffesse, A.S. (2014). Can Social Protection Work in Africa? The Impact of Ethiopia's Productive Safety Net Programme. Economic Development and Cultural Change **63**(1): 1-26. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1086/677753">https://doi.org/10.1086/677753</a>

- Burchi, F., Scarlato, M. and d'Agostino, G. (2018). Addressing Food Insecurity in sub-Saharan Africa: The Role of Cash Transfers. Poverty & Public Policy **10**(4): 564-589. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/pop4.233">https://doi.org/10.1002/pop4.233</a>
  - Chrousos, G.P. and Gold, P.W. (1992). The Concept of Stress and Stress System Disorders: Overview of Physical and Behavioural Homeostasis. JAMA **267**: 1244–1252.
  - Cohen, J.B., Pham, M.T. and Andrade, E.B. (2008). The Nature and Role of Affect in Consumer Behavior. In C. Haugtvedt, Kardes, F. and Mahwah, P.H. (eds), Handbook of Consumer Psychology (pp. 297-348). New Jersey: Erlbaum.
  - Craig, P., Srinivasa, V.K., Leyland, A. and Popham, F. (2017). Natural Experiments: An Overview of Methods, Approaches, and Contributions to Public Health Intervention Research. Annual Review of Public Health **20**(38): 39-56. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044327">https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044327</a>
  - Creamer, M., Bell, R. and Failla, S. (2003). Psychometic Properties of the Impact of Event Scale Revised. Behavior Research and Therapy **41**(12): 1489-1496. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2003.07.010">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2003.07.010</a>
  - Cutter, S.L., Burton, C.G. and Emrich, C.T. (2010). Disaster Resilience Indicators for Benchmarking Baseline Conditions. Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management 7(1).
  - Dahl, E. and van der Wel, K.A. (2013). Educational Inequalities in Health in European Welfare States: A Social Expenditure Approach. Social Science & Medicine **81**(March): 60-69. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.12.010">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.12.010</a>
  - Daidone, S., Davis, B., Dewbre, J., Gonzales-Flores, M., Handa, S., Seidenfeld, D. and Tembo, G. (2014). Zambia's Child Grant Programme: 24-Month Impact Report on Productive Activities and Labour Allocation. Zimbabwe Country Case Study Report. Rome.
  - Fiszbein, A., Kanbur, R. and Yemtsov, R. (2014). Social Protection and Poverty Reduction: Global Patterns and Some Targets. World Development **61**: 167-177. doi: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.04.010">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.04.010</a>
  - Frey, B. (2018). Posttest-Only Control Group Design. <u>The SAGE Encyclopedia of Educational Research, Measurement, and Evaluation</u>. Thousand Oaks, CA, SAGE Publications INC. **1-4**: 1279-1281.
  - Gentilini, U. and Omamo, S.W. (2011). Social Protection 2.0: Exploring Issues, Evidence and Debates in a Globalizing World. Food policy **36**: 329-240. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2011.03.007">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2011.03.007</a>

Gilligan, D.O., Hoddinott, J. and Taffesse, A.S. (2009). The Impact of Ethiopia's Productive Safety Net Program and Its Linkages. Journal of Development Studies **45**(10): 1684-1706. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/00220380902935907

Gunderson, L.H. (2000). Ecological Resilience. In Theory and Application. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics **31**: 425-439. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.425">https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.425</a>

Gupta, J., Pouw, N.R.M. and Ros-Tonen, M.A.F. (2015). Towards an Elaborated Theory of Inclusive Development. The European Journal of Development Research **27**: 541-559. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1057/ejdr.2015.30">https://doi.org/10.1057/ejdr.2015.30</a>

Hair, J.F.J., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J. and Anderson, R.E. (2014). Multivariate Data Analysis with Readings. Essex, Pearson Education Limited.

Hallegatte, S., Bangalore, M. and Vogt-Schilb, A. (2016). Socioeconomic Resilience. Multi-Hazard Estimates in 117 Countries. <u>Policy Research Working Paper</u>. Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery & Climate Policy Team. New York, World Bank Group.

Heider, F. (1958). The Psychology of Interpersonal Relations, John Wiley & Sons Inc.

Hidrobo, M., Hoddinott, J., Kumar, N. and Olivier, M. (2018). Social Protection, Food Security and Asset Formation. World Development **101**: 88-103. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.08.014">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.08.014</a>

Horowitz, M., Wilmer, N. and Alvarez, W. (1979). Impact of Event Scale: A Measure of Subjective Stress. Psychosomatic Medicine **41**: 209-218.

Kahneman, D. and Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. Econometrica 47(2): 263-291. doi: https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185

 Khazai, B., Mahdavian, F. and Platt, S. (2018). Tourism Recovery Scorecard (Tours) - Benchmarking and Monitoring Progress on Disaster Recovery in Tourism Destinations. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction 27: 75-84. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2017.09.039

Kidane, S. (2021). Trauma, Collective Trauma and Refugee Trajectories in the Digital Era. Ph.D. thesis, Tilburg University. Tilburg, the Netherlands.

Kidane, S. and Stokmans, M. (2019). Desperate Journeys: The Need for Trauma Support for Refugees. In M. van Reisen, Mawere, M., Stokmans, M. and Gebre-Egziabher, K.A. (eds), Mobile Africa: Human Trafficking and the Digital Divide (pp. 323-352). Bamenda, Cameroon: Langaa Research & Publishing CIG.

Leatherdale, S.T. (2019). Natural Experiment Methodology for Research: A Review of How Different Methods Can Support Real-World Research. International Journal of Social Research Methodology **22**(1): 19-35. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/13645579.2018.1488449">https://doi.org/10.1080/13645579.2018.1488449</a>

Lerner, C. and Kennedy, L. (2000). Stay Leave Decision Making in Battered Women: Trauma, Coping, and Self-Efficacy. Cognitive Therapy and Research **24**(2): 215-232. doi: https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005450226110

Lomo, Z. and Hovil, L. (2004a). Behind the Violence: Causes, Consequences and the Search for Solutions to the War in Northern Uganda. <u>Refugee Law Project Working Paper Series</u>. Kampala, Makerere University.

Lomo, Z. and Hovil, L. (2004b). Negotiating Peace: Resolution of Conflicts in Uganda's West Nile Region. <u>Refugee Law Project Working Paper Series</u>. Kampala, Makerere University.

Lomo, Z., Naggaga, A. and Hovil, L. (2001). The Phenomenon of Forced Migration in Uganda: An Overview of Policy and Practice in a Historical Context. <u>Refugee Law Project Working Paper Series</u>. Kampala, Makerere University.

Mensah, E.J. (2012). The Sustainable Livelihood Framework: A Reconstruction. The Development Review **11**(1): 7-24.

Merttens, F., Hurrel, A., Manzi, M., Attah, R., Farhat, M., Kardan, A. and MacAuslan, I. (2013). Kenya Hunger Safety Net Programme Monitoring and Evaluation Component. Impact Evaluation Final Report, 2009-2012. Oxford, Oxford Policy Management Ltd.

Milenkovic, T., Simonovic, M., Stankovic, M. and Samardzic, L. (2013). Disturbed Family Functioning in Patients Suffering from Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Med Glas (Zenica) 10(1): 120-125.

Miller, I.W. and Norman, W.H. (1979). Learned Helplessness in Humans: A Review and Attribution-Theory Model. Psychological Bulletin **86**(1): 93-118. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.86.1.93">https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.86.1.93</a>

Ministry of Gender Labour and Social Development (2015). The National Social Protection Policy. Income Security and Dignified Lives for All. Kampala, Uganda, from <a href="http://socialprotection.go.ug/newwebsite2/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/National-Social-Protection-Policy-uganda.pdf">http://socialprotection.go.ug/newwebsite2/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/National-Social-Protection-Policy-uganda.pdf</a>.

Motlagh, H. (2010). Impact of Event Scale - Revised. Journal of Physiotherapy **56**(3): 203. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1836-9553(10)70029-1

Mukwana, R. and Ridderbos, K. (2008). Uganda's Response to Displacement: Contrasting Policy and Practice. In M. Couldrey and Herson, M. (eds), Forced Migration Review. Ten Years of the Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement. Oxford, UK: Oxford Department of International Development. University of Oxford.

Nakazibwe, P. and van Reisen, M. (2019). Life after the Lord's Resistance Army. Support for Formely Abducted Girls in Northern Uganda. In M. van Reisen, Mawere, M., Stokmans, M. and Gebre-Egziabher, K.A. (eds), Roaming Africa: Migration, Resilience, and Social Protection (pp. 407-432). Bemenda, Cameroon: Langaa Research & Publishing CIG.

Nakimuli-Mpungu, E., Okello, J., Kinyanda, E., Alderman, S., Nakku, J., Alderman, J.S., Pavia, A., Adaku, A., Allden, K. and Musisi, S. (2013). The Impact of Group Counseling on Depression, Post-Traumatic Stress and Function Outcomes: A Prospective Comparison Study in the Peter C. Alderman Trauma Clinics in Northern Uganda. Journal of Affective Disorders **151**(1): 78-84. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2013.05.055

National Planning Authority (2013). Uganda Vision 2040. Kampala, Uganda, Retrieved October 6, 2019, from <a href="http://www.npa.go.ug/wp-content/themes/npatheme/documents/vision2040.pdf">http://www.npa.go.ug/wp-content/themes/npatheme/documents/vision2040.pdf</a>.

- Norris, F.H., Stevens, S.P., Pfefferbaum, B., Wyche, K.F. and Pfefferbaum, R.L. (2008). Community Resilience as a Metaphor, Theory, Set of Capabilities, and Strategy for Disaster Readiness. American Journal of Community Psychology **41**(1-2): 127-150. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-007-9156-6
- O'Campo, P., Molnar, A., Ng, E., Renahy, E., Mitchell, C., Shankardass, K., St. John, A., Bambra, C. and Muntaner, C. (2015). Social Welfare Matters: A Realistic Review of When, How, and Why Employment Insurance Impacts Poverty and Health. Social Science & Medicine 132(May): 88-94. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.03.025">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.03.025</a>
- OECD (2009). Making Economic Growth More Pro-Poor: The Role of Employment and Social Protection. In D.n.o. POVNET (ed.), Promoting Pro-Poor Growth: Social Protection (pp. 11-15). Paris: OECD.
- Paton, D. and Johnston, D. (2001). Disasters and Communities: Vulnerability, Resilience and Preparedness. Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal **10**(4): 270-277. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM000000005930">https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000005930</a>
- Platt, S., Brown, D. and Hughes, M. (2016). Measuring Resilience and Recovery. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction **19**: 447-460. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2016.05.006">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2016.05.006</a>
- Quinn, J.R. (2009). Getting to Peace? Negotiating with the LRA in Northern Uganda. Human Rights Review **10**(1): 55-71. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12142-008-0075-1
- Reeves, A., McKee, M., Gunnell, D., Chang, S.-S., Basu, S., Barr, B. and Stuckler, D. (2014). Economic Shocks, Resilience, and Male Suicides in the Great Recession: Cross-National Analysis of 20 EU Countries. European Journal of Public Health **25**(3): 404-409. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cku168">https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cku168</a>
- Roberts, B., Ocaka, K.F., Browne, J., Oyok, T. and Sondorp, E. (2008). Factors Associated with Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder and Depression Amongst Internally Displaced Persons in Northern Uganda. BMC Psychiatry **8**(38). doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-8-38">https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-8-38</a>
- Schwarz, N. (2011). Feelings-as-Information Theory. In P. van Lange, Kruglanski, A. and Higgins, E.T. (eds), Handbook of Theories of Social Psychology (pp. 289-308). London: Sage Publications.

Schwarz, N. and Clore, G.L. (2007). Feelings and Phenomenal Experiences. In A.W. Kruglanski and Higgins, E.T. (eds), Social Psychology: Handbook of Basic Principles (2nd ed., pp. 385-407). New York: Guildford Press.

Shiv, B. and Fedorikhin, A. (1999). Heart and Mind in Conflict: The Interplay of Affect and Cognition in Consumer Decision Making. Journal of Consumer Research, **26**: 278-292. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1086/209563">https://doi.org/10.1086/209563</a>

 Stokmans, M. and Baluka, Z.M. (2020). The Impact of Shlcpts on Social Economic Resilience a Study in Northern Uganda. <u>Working Paper</u>. Tilburg, the Netherlands, Tilburg University.

Tiwari, S., Daidone, S., Ruvalcaba, M.A., Prifti, E., Handa, S., Davis, B., Niang, O., Pellerano, L., van Ufford, P.Q. and Seidenfeld, D. (2016). Impact of Cash Transfer Programs on Food Security and Nutrition in sub-Saharan Africa: A Cross-Country Analysis. Global Food Security 11: 72-83. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2016.07.009

Tsigos, C. and Chrousos, G.P. (2002). Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis, Neuroendocrine Factors and Stress. Journal of Psychosomatic Research **53**: 865-871.

Ulriksen, M.S. and Plagerson, S. (2014). Social Protection: Rethinking Rights and Duties. World Development **64**: 755-765. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.07.009

UNISDR (2015). Proposed Updated Terminology on Disaster Risk Reduction: A Technical Review, United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction.

van der Kolk, B.A. (2014). The Body Keeps the Score: Brain, Mind, and Body in the Healing of Trauma. New York, Viking.

van Kesteren, F., Dekker, M., Miroro, O., Franziska, G. and Timar, E. (2018). The Business Case for Social Protection in Africa. <u>Synthesis Report Series</u>, INCLUDE.

van Reisen, M., Ed. (2015). <u>Women's Leadership in Peace Building: Conflict,</u> Community and Care. Trenton, NJ, Africa World Press.

van Reisen, M. and Mawere, M., Eds. (2017). <u>Human Trafficking and Trauma in the Digital Era. The Ongoing Tragedy of the Trade in Refugees from Eritrea</u>. Cameroon, Langaa RPCIG.

van Reisen, M., Nakazibwe, P., Stokmans, M., Vallejo, B. and Kidane, S. (2018). A Cost-Benefit Analysis of Cash-Transfer Programs and Post-Trauma Services for Economic Empowerment of Women in North Uganda. Tilburg, from <a href="https://www.tilburguniversity.edu/sites/tiu/files/download/RR\_0%20FINAL%20REPORT%2">https://www.tilburguniversity.edu/sites/tiu/files/download/RR\_0%20FINAL%20REPORT%2</a> 0UGANDA%20NWO%20EWP-U%20Tilburg%20University new-1 2.pdf.

van Reisen, M., Stokmans, M., Nakazibwe, P., Malole, Z. and Vallejo, B. (2019). Is Trauma Counselling the Missing Link? Enhancing Socio-Economic Resilience among Post-War IDPs in Northern Uganda. In M. van Reisen, Mawere, M., Stokmans, M. and Gebre-

Egziabher, K.A. (eds), Roaming Africa: Migration, Resilience and Social Protection (pp. 628). Bamenda, Cameroon: Langaa Research & Publishing CIG.

Veras Soares, F. and Teixeira, C. (2010). Impact Evaluation of the Expansion of the Food Subsidy Programme in Mozambique. <u>Research Brief</u>. Brasilia, D.F., International Policy Centre for Inclusive Growth.

Vinck, P., Pham, P.N., Stover, E. and Weinstein, H.M. (2007). Exposure to War Crimes and Implications for Peace Building in Northern Uganda. JAMA **298**(5): 543-554. doi: https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.298.5.543

Weiss, D.S. and Marmar, C.R. (1997). The Impact of Event Scale - Revised. In J.P. Wilson and Keane, T.M. (eds), Assessing Psychological Trauma and PTSD: A Handbook for Practitioners (pp. 399-411). New York: Guilford Press.

Winkler, N., Ruf-Leuschner, M., Ertl, V., Pfeiffer, A., Schalinski, I., Ovuga, E., Neuner, F. and Elbert, T. (2015). From War to Classroom: PTSD and Depression in Formerly Abducted Youth in Uganda. Front Psychiatry **6**(2): 1-10. doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2015.00002">https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2015.00002</a>

World Bank (2001). Social Protection Sector Strategy: From Safety Net to Springboard. Washington, D.C., The World Bank.

Yehuda, R. (2002). Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. New England Journal of Medicine **346**(2): 108-114. doi: https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra012941

Zahariadis, N. and Exadaktylos, T. (2015). Policies That Succeed and Programs That Fail: Ambiguity, Conflict, and Crisis in Greek Higher Education. Policy Studies Journal **44**(1): 59-82. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/psj.12129